

No. 11277-4Lab-77/28589.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana, is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Haryana Malleables, 16/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA,, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 74 of 1977.

between

SHRI HARISH CHAND, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HARYANA  
MALLEABLES, 16/4, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD.

Present:

Nemo, for the workman.

Shri S. L. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/21874, dated the 4th June, 1977, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Haryana Malleables, 16/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad, and its workman Shri Harish Chand, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Harish Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. The workman has filed claim statement and the case was fixed for filing written statement by the management for 7th October, 1977. On 7th October, 1977, the workman did not appear nor his representative appeared. The case was called on three times and last time it was called on at 4 p.m. By default in appearance by the workman concerned, it seems that the workman is not taking interest in pursuing his dispute. In the circumstances, I give my *ex parte* award as follows :—

That the termination of services of Shri Harish Chand was justified and in order? He is not entitled to any relief.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated the 10th October, 1977.

No. 1002, dated the 17th October, 1977

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary, to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated the 17th October, 1977

No. 11278-4Lab-77/28591.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana, is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Gold Field Manufacturing Company, Gurgaon :—

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 91 of 1977

between

THE WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GOLD FIELD  
MANUFACTURING COMPANY, GURGAON

Present:—

Shri Rajinder Singh Dahyia for the workman.

Shri K. D. Kulkatkar, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/GG/158-A-77/23895, dated 21st June, 1976, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Gold Field Manufacturing Company, Gurgaon and its workmen to this Tribunal, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the closure of the factory was justified and in order? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?

On receipt of the orders of the reference, notices were given to the parties. The parties appeared. On the date fixed the representative for the parties stated that the dispute had been settled between them and there was no dispute thereafter. The representative for the workmen further stated that the workmen had received all their dues in full and final settlement and had left. He therefore withdrew from the reference. I, therefore, give my award as follows:—

That the closure of the factory was justified and in order. The workmen are not entitled to any relief.

The 12th October, 1977.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 1004, dated 17th October, 1977.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

The 17th October, 1977.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 2nd November, 1977

No. 9671-4Lab-77/28127.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(I) of section 9 of the Equal Remuneration Act, 1976; and all other powers enabling him in this behalf and in partial modification of Haryana Government, Labour Department, notification No. 2405-4Lab-77/7061, dated the 28th March, 1977; the Governor of Haryana hereby appoints the Labour Inspector, Kurukshetra, within the local limits of Kurukshetra district, the Labour Inspector Karnal, within the local limits of Karnal district excluding Panipat tahsil and the Labour Inspector, Jind, within the local limits of Jind district to be Inspectors for the purpose of the said sub-section and further makes the following amendment in the aforesaid notification, namely :—

AMENDMENT

In the said notification,—

- (a) for serial number 19 and the entries there against, the following serial number and entries shall be substituted, namely :—

“19. Labour Inspector, Karnal

“Karnal district excluding Panipat tahsil”.

- (b) for serial number 23 and the entries thereagainst the following serial number and entries shall be substituted, namely :—

“23. Labour Inspector,

Jind district.” ; and

- (c) after serial number 27, the following serial number and entries shall be inserted, namely :—

“28. Labour Inspector, Kurukshetra

— Kurukshetra district”.

G. V. GUPTA,

Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour and Employment Department.